



Research Integrity Policy

Table of Contents

- 1. Purpose
- 2. Scope
- Definitions
- 4. Principles and researcher responsibilities
- 5. Breach of Code
- Authorities
- 7. Supporting procedures
- 8. Related policies and documents

Schedule 1—Research integrity: principles and researcher responsibilities under the Code Schedule 2—Examples of breach of research integrity requirements

1. Purpose

- a. Integrity is one of the University's four <u>core values</u> which define the way the Flinders University community carries out its work and study.
- b. Integrity in research requires adhering to the principles and responsibilities specified in the <u>Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research</u> (the "Code"), which the University comprehensively adopts as its own framework for responsible research conduct in order to provide "a foundation for high-quality research, credibility and community trust in the research endeavour" ¹ as carried out at Flinders University.

2. Scope

- a. This Research Integrity Policy applies to all researchers at Flinders University, namely:
 - i. all staff
 - ii. all academic status holders, and
 - iii. all higher degree by research (HDR) students
 - —in respect of their Flinders University-related research activities, including their supervision of student research activities.
- b. The <u>Student Academic Integrity Policy</u> applies to all coursework students, including students in an honours course or a postgraduate coursework course with a research component.

3. Definitions

Code	The Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research
Investigation Guide	The Guide to Managing and Investigating Potential Breaches of the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research

¹ Code, p.1.

4. Principles and researcher responsibilities

- a. The requirements of research integrity are encompassed in the principles and responsibilities specified in the Code.
- b. These principles and responsibilities are reproduced in <u>Schedule 1</u> to put beyond doubt that they are adopted by Flinders University in respect of all Flinders University-related research conducted by staff, academic status holders or HDR students.
- c. Failure to abide by these principles and responsibilities constitutes a breach of research integrity—examples of what constitutes a breach are contained in Schedule 2.

5. Breach of Code

- a. A complaint of a potential breach of the Code will be dealt with in accordance with:
 - i. the processes specified in the Breach of Research Integrity Procedures, and
 - ii. the principles of procedural fairness, as stated in the Investigation Guide.
- A serious breach which is also intentional, reckless or negligent will be regarded as Research Misconduct.
- c. The consequences of a proven breach, including Research Misconduct, by a **staff member** to whom the relevant disciplinary clauses of the Enterprise Agreement apply,² are as specified in those clauses.
- d. The consequences of a proven breach, including Research Misconduct, by a probationary or casual staff member or an academic status holder are as determined by the relevant decision-maker under the <u>Breach of Research Integrity Procedures</u>, and may, in the case of proven Research Misconduct, include termination of employment or academic status.
- e. The consequences of a proven breach, including Research Misconduct, by an **HDR student** are as determined by the relevant decision-maker under the <u>Breach of Research Integrity Procedures</u>, and may, in the case of proven Research Misconduct, include termination of the student's candidature and permanent expulsion from the University.
- f. **Confidentiality** All documentation relating to a complaint of potential breach of the Code is kept confidential and only disclosed to those persons who have a role in the breach assessment and investigation process, or as required by law, or where all parties provide express and informed consent to disclosure.

6. Authorities

Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research)	Amend Schedules 1 and 2 as required (noting that columns 1 and 2 of Schedule 1 can only be changed if the corresponding section(s) of the Code change.

7. Supporting procedures

Supporting procedures are part of this policy and provide additional detail to give practical effect to the policy principles.

Breach of Research Integrity Procedures

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ i.e. all staff members except those under probation or employed on a casual basis

8. Related policies and documents

Internal:

Enterprise Agreement

Management of Research Data and Primary Materials Policy

Research Publication, Authorship and Peer Review Policy

Other policies listed under Research in the Policy Library

External:

Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research

The various supplementary guides issued under and supporting the Code (to be listed when finalised)

National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research and related guidelines

Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes

Statement on Consumer and Community Participation in Health and Medical Research

Ethical conduct in research with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Peoples and communities: Guidelines for researchers and stakeholders

ARC Research Integrity Policy

NHMRC Research Integrity and Misconduct Policy

Approval Authority	Academic Senate
Responsible Officer	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research)
Approval Date	18 January 2023
Effective Date	18 January 2023
Review Date*	January 2026
Last amended	
CM file number	CF15/605

^{*} Unless otherwise indicated, this procedure will still apply beyond the review date.

Printed versions of this document are not controlled. Please refer to the Flinders Policy Library for the latest version.

Schedule 1—Research integrity: principles and researcher responsibilities under the Code³

Principles as specified in the Code	2. Researcher responsibilities	3. Applicable University policies and procedures
 P1 Honesty in the development, undertaking and reporting of research Present information truthfully and accurately in proposing, conducting and reporting research. 	R17 Comply with the relevant laws, regulations, disciplinary standards, ethics guidelines and institutional policies related to responsible research conduct.	University Values Charter for HDR Student and Supervisor Responsibilities
P2 Rigour in the development, undertaking and reporting of research Underpin research by attention to detail and robust methodology, avoiding or acknowledging biases.	R21 Adopt methods appropriate to the aims of the research and ensure that conclusions are justified by the results.	Management of Research Data and Primary Materials Policy
 P3 Transparency in declaring interests and reporting research methodology, data and findings Share and communicate research methodology, data and findings openly, responsibly and accurately. Disclose and manage conflicts of interest. 	R22 Retain clear, accurate, secure and complete records of all research including research data and primary materials. Where possible and appropriate, allow access and reference to these by interested parties. R23 Disseminate research findings responsibly, accurately and broadly. Where necessary, take action to correct the record in a timely manner.	Management of Research Data and Primary Materials Policy Conflict of Interest Policy
	R24 Disclose and manage actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest. R28 Participate in peer review in a way that is fair, rigorous and timely and maintains the confidentiality of the content.	Peer Review: A Guide supporting the Australian Code for Responsible Conduct of Research (2018 NHMRC) — applicable in full to Flinders University (still in draft).
P4 Fairness in the treatment of others • Treat fellow researchers and others involved in the	R25 Ensure that authors of research outputs are all those, and only those, who have made a significant intellectual or scholarly contribution to the research and its	Research Publication, Authorship and Peer Review Policy

³ Principles and researcher responsibilities are a verbatim extract from the National Health and Medical Research Council (2018), <u>Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research</u>, pages 2 and 4, though the matching of responsibilities to principles is the work of Flinders University.

research fairly and with respect.

- Appropriately reference and cite the work of others.
- Give credit, including authorship where appropriate, to those who have contributed to the research.

output, and that they agree to be listed as an author.

R26 Acknowledge those who have contributed to the research.

R27 Cite and acknowledge other relevant work appropriately and accurately.

> University requirements in respect of:

- P5 Respect for research participants, the wider community, animals and the environment
 - Treat human participants and communities that are affected by the research with care and respect, giving appropriate consideration to the needs of minority groups or vulnerable people.
 - Ensure that respect underpins all decisions and actions related to the care and use of animals in research.
 - Minimise adverse effects of the research on the environment.

- **R17** Ensure that appropriate approvals are obtained prior to the commencement of research, and that conditions of any approvals are adhered to during the course of research.
- R18 Ensure that the ethics principles of research merit and integrity, justice, beneficence and respect are applied to human research.
- R20 Ensure that the 3Rs (Replacement, Reduction and Refinement) are considered at all stages of research involving animals and minimise the impacts on animals used in research and in so doing support the welfare and wellbeing of these animals.

- - Animal Ethics
 - Human Ethics
 - Biosafety
 - Biosecurity
 - **Defence Trade or Export** Controls
 - Radiation
 - Work Health and Safety

and, where applicable, any requirements of institutions or entities involved in or supporting the research project

P6 Recognition of the right of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples to be engaged in research that affects or is of particular significance to them

- · Recognise, value and respect the diversity, heritage, knowledge, cultural property and connection to land of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.
- Engage with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples prior to research being undertaken, so that they freely make decisions about their involvement.
- Report to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples the outcomes of research in which they have engaged.

R19 Engage with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and respect their legal rights and local laws, customs and protocols.

NHMRC ethical guidelines for research with Aboriginal and **Torres Strait Islander Peoples** applicable in full to Flinders

University, plus any approval requirements that may be applicable to individual research projects

flinders.edu.au 5 CRICOS No. 00114A

P7 Accountability for the See all Responsibilities 14-29 Management of Research Data and Primary Materials Policy development, undertaking and reporting of research Comply with relevant legislation, policies and guidelines. • Ensure good stewardship of public resources used to conduct research. Consider the consequences and outcomes of research prior to its communication. P8 Promotion of responsible R14 Support a culture of research practices responsible research conduct at their institution and in their field of Promote and foster a practice. research culture and environment that supports R15 Provide guidance and Charter for HDR Student and the responsible conduct of mentorship on responsible research Supervisor Responsibilities research. conduct to other researchers or Role statements of Deans research trainees under their (Research) and Research supervision and, where appropriate, **Integrity Advisors** monitor their conduct.

R16 Undertake and promote education and training in responsible research conduct.

R29 Report suspected breaches of

the Code to the relevant institution and/or authority.

Breach of Research Integrity

Procedures

Schedule 2—Examples of breach of research integrity requirements

- a. A breach is defined as a failure to meet the principles and responsibilities of the Code, and may refer to a single breach or multiple breaches.
- b. Examples of breaches of the Code include, but are not limited to, the following:

i. Not meeting required research standards

- Conducting research without ethics approval as required by the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research and the Australian Code for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes
- Failing to conduct research as approved by an appropriate ethics review body
- Conducting research without the requisite approvals, permits or licences
- · Misuse of research funds
- · Concealment or facilitation of breaches (or potential breaches) of the Code by others

ii. Fabrication, falsification, misrepresentation

- Fabrication of research data or source material
- Falsification of research data or source material
- Misrepresentation of research data or source material
- · Falsification and/or misrepresentation to obtain funding

iii. Plagiarism

- Plagiarism of someone else's work, including theories, concepts, research data and source material
- Duplicate publication (also known as redundant or multiple publication, or self-plagiarism) without acknowledgment of the source

iv. Research data management

- Failure to appropriately maintain research records
- Inappropriate destruction of research records, research data and/or source material
- Inappropriate disclosure of, or access to, research records, research data and/or source material

v. Supervision

 Failure to provide adequate guidance or mentorship on responsible research conduct to researchers or research trainees under their supervision

vi. Authorship

- Failure to acknowledge the contributions of others fairly
- Misleading ascription of authorship including failing to offer authorship to those who qualify or awarding authorship to those who do not meet the requirements (e.g. honorary authorship, paying for authorship)

vii. Conflicts of interest

Failure to disclose and manage conflicts of interest

viii. Peer review

Failure to conduct peer review responsibly

Approval Authority	Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research), as per s.6 Research Integrity Policy	
Approval Date	18 January 2023	

⁴ A verbatim extract from the National Health and Medical Research Council (2018), <u>Guide to Managing and Investigating Potential</u> <u>Breaches of the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research</u>, section 2.1, pages 4-5